

| | | | | Previou | Previous Years 2018/2019 | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|----|----------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| Children | | % of care leavers in employment, education or training aged 17-21 (19-21 until 2016/2017) - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 68.00% | 75.64% | 71.00% | 62.96% | 61.90% | - | - | 75% | Up is Good | ◀▶ Neutral |
| 's Soc | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 49.00% | 50.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| ial Care | 148 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 52.00% | 50.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Children's Social Care - Care Leavers | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Annual | 49.50% | 52.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Children Looked After per 10k - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 53 | 55 | 53 | 55.95 | 55.68 | - | - | 51-57 | Neutral | ⋖ ► Neutral |
| en's So | EFL1 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 60 | 62 | 64 | - | - | - | <u>-</u> | - | | |
| ocial C | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 63 | 67 | 71 | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| are - Ch | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Annual | 54.2 | 57.2 | 60.5 | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Children's Social Care - Children Looked After | | Number of Children Looked After - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 191 | 204 | 197 | 207 | 206 | - | - | 190-210 | Neutral | ◀▶ Neutral |
| r Children's Social Care - Safeguarding | | % of children ceasing to be the subject of a Child Protection Plan who had been the subject of a CPP continuously for two years or longer - (YTD) | Monthly | 2.80% | 2.73% | 4.33% | 1.89% | 0.88% | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▼ Green |
| Social | 64 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 3.80% | 3.40% | 3.40% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Care - | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | - | 2.00% | 2.80% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Defo Caracina | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Annual | 5.20% | 4.70% | 4.40% | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | | Previous Years | | | 2018/2019 | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|----|----------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| C | 65 | % of children becoming the subject of a Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time - (YTD) | Monthly | 25.70% | 28.38% | 22.06% | 35.38% | 38.76% | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| ildren' | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 17.90% | 18.70% | 20.20% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Children's Social Care - Safeguarding | | Children with a Child Protection Plan per 10k - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 37 | 46 | 45 | 48.38 | 49.46 | - | - | 40-46 | Neutral | ⋖ ► Neutral |
| Care · | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 43.1 | 43.3 | 45.3 | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| · Safe | EFL2 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 41.7 | 43 | 46.1 | - | - | - | <u>-</u> | - | | |
| Juardir | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Annual | 41.9 | 40.1 | 38.4 | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| ğ | | Number of Children with a Child Protection Plan - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 135 | 171 | 167 | 179 | 183 | - | - | 150-170 | Neutral | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| | CSP01 | All Crime | Monthly | 12,015 | 11,221 | 11,958 | 3,429 | 3,307 | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| Crime | CSP15 | Overall Violence (Violence Against Person Def.) | Monthly | 2,513 | 2,509 | 3,188 | 1,033 | 1,036 | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Red |
| | 33. 13 | IQUANTA Family Grouping (Rank out of 15) | Monthly | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | | |
| Crime | CSP13 | NYP Recorded ASB Calls for Service | Monthly | 8,997 | 8,860 | 8,225 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ⋖ ► Neutral |
| - Anti Social Behaviour | CSP28 | Number of Incidents of ASB within the city centre ARZ | Monthly | 2,305 | 2,175 | 1,934 | 497 | 570 | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| Crime - | | Hate Crimes or Incidents as Recorded by NYP | Monthly | 141 | 189 | 180 | 35 | 24 | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▼ Green |
| - Hate Crime | CSP23 | IQUANTA Family Grouping (Rank out of 15) | Monthly | 5 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | | |

| | | | | Previou | s Years | | | 2018 | /2019 | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|------|-------|----|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| Crime - | CSP29a | Number of Incidents of ASB within the CIZ | Monthly | 1,518 | 1,399 | 1,115 | 241 | 274 | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ Red |
| - Key Places | CSP29b | Number of Incidents of Alcohol Related ASB within the CIZ | Monthly | - | 594 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ◀▶ Neutral |
| Depr | | Percentage of Children (aged 0- 15) living in Out-of-work Benefit Claimant Households | Annual | 8.74% | 8.38% | 8.35% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| Deprivation and Poverty | CJGE13A | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 14.74% | 14.04% | 13.53% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| and | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 17.46% | 16.80% | 16.32% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | CJGE17 | % of working age population qualified - No qualifications | Annual | 4.60% | 6.20% | 4.40% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| | | % of working age population qualified - to at least L2 and above* | Annual | 81.10% | 80.20% | 85.00% | - | - | - | - | 83% | Up is Good | ⋖ ► Neutral |
| | CJGE18 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 73.60% | 74.30% | 74.70% | - | - | - | - | - - - 83% - - - | | |
| Educ | CJGE16 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 70.10% | 71.00% | 71.10% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Education (Adult) | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Adult) | | % of working age population qualified - to at least L3 and above* | Annual | 65.80% | 65.20% | 71.60% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| | CJGE19 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 55.80% | 56.90% | 57.20% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | 000119 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 51.30% | 52.30% | 52.30% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | | Previou | s Years | | | 2018 | /2019 | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|--|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|----|------|----------|----|----------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| Ec | | % of working age population qualified - to at least L4 and above* | Annual | 40.60% | 42.70% | 48.90% | - | - | - | - | <u>-</u> | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| lucatio | CJGE20 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 37.10% | 38.20% | 38.60% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Education (Adult) | CJGLZU | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 30.60% | 31.30% | 33.00% | - | - | - | - | <u>-</u> | | |
| ť | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Educat | ES8 | % of After School Clubs achieving 'good' or 'outstanding' - (Snapshot) | Annual | 83.00% | - | 89.00% | - | - | - | - | - - | Up is Good | ∢ ► Neutral |
| Education (Young People) | ES9 | % Take up of early education places by eligible two year olds - (Snapshot) | Annual | 72.00% (392) | 73.50% (382) | 78.00% | - | - | - | - | 80% | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| | | %pt gap between FSM and non-FSM pupils at 15, who attain a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 32.00% | 33.90% | - | - | - | - | - | 25% | Up is Bad | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| | 81 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 24.50% | 25.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 27.60% | 27.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Edu | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 13 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Educational Attainment | | %pt gap between FSM and non-FSM pupils at 15, who attain a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 14.20% | 25.10% | - | - | - | <u>-</u> | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ Red |
| ∖ttainm | 82 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 17.50% | 20.10% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| nent | 02 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 20.60% | 23.70% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 3 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS4a | Average Progress 8 score from KS2 to KS4 | Annual | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.11 | - | - | - | - | 0.15 | Up is Good | ⋖ ► Neutral |
| | 11044 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | -0.03 | -0.03 | -0.03 | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | | Previou | ıs Years | | | 2018 | /2019 | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|----|---------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| Homelessness | HOU102 | Number of homeless households with dependent children in temporary accommodation - (Snapshot) | Quarterly | 30 | 33 | 27 | 14 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Green |
| ssness | | Number of children in temporary accommodation - (Snapshot) | Quarterly | 46 | 58 | 43 | 24 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Green |
| Libraries | LIB01 | Library Visits - All Libraries | Monthly | 997,606 | 1,025,480 | 1,014,173 | 246,576 | 300,293 | - | - | 1100000 | Up is Good | Green |
| aries | LIB02 | Books Borrowed - All Libraries | Monthly | 819,179 | 800,300 | 788,861 | 188,306 | 208,166 | - | - | - | Up is Good | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| | | % of Year 12-13 (academic age 16-17) young people who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) - (Snapshot) | Monthly | - | 3.30% | 2.90% | 3.00% | 1.60% | - | - | - | Up is Bad Up is Bad Up is Good Up is Good Up is Bad Up is Bad Up is Bad | ◀▶ Neutral |
| | 117c | Benchmark - National Data | Quarterly | - | 3.00% | 2.80% | 3.00% | 1.70% | - | - | | | |
| NEET | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Quarterly | - | 3.40% | 3.30% | 3.40% | 2.10% | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Quarterly | - | 2.40% | 2.50% | 2.40% | 1.50% | - | - | - | | |
| | NEET02c | % of Year 12-13 (academic age 16-17) NEET who possess less than a L2 qualification (New definition Jan 2017) - (Snapshot) | Monthly | - | 85.00% | 81.00% | 80.60% | 91.10% | - | - | 80% | | ◀▶ Neutral |
| | | % of reception year children recorded as being obese (single year) | Annual | 8.59% | 8.52% | 9.28% | - | - | - | - | - | | ◀▶ Neutral |
| | NOMPOA | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 9.31% | 9.61% | 9.53% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | NCMP01 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 9.42% | 9.72% | 9.94% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| S S | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Obesity | | % of children in Year 6 recorded as being obese (single year) | Annual | 15.14% | 16.13% | 17.41% | - | - | - | - | - | | Red |
| | NCMP02 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 19.82% | 19.98% | 20.14% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | INCIVIFUZ | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 20.29% | 20.42% | 20.63% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | - | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | | | s Years | | | 2018 | /2019 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|----|--------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| Phy | | % of adults (aged 19+) that meet CMO recommendations for physical activity (150+ moderate intensity equivalent minutes per week) | Annual | 66.71% | 72.03% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ◀▶ Neutral |
| Physical Activity | PHOF01a | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 66.13% | 66.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| ctivity | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 64.22% | 64.60% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 5.00% | 1.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Proj | | Large Project - Provision of School Places | Quarterly | - | - | Green | Amber | Amber | Amber | - | - | Neutral | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| Projects - I | CORP10 L | Large Project - Specialist Disabled Children Short Break Facility | Quarterly | - | - | Green | Green | Green | Green | - | - | Neutral | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| Large | | Large Project - Library Procurement Project | Quarterly | - | - | Green | Green | Green | Green | - | - | Neutral | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| Public | | Hospital admissions for asthma (0-18 years), per 100,000 population | Annual | 101.62 | 139.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| : Healt | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 202.39 | 202.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| arge Public Health and Wellbeing | CHP30 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 161.6 | 181.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - - | | |
| | | % of births registered within 42 days | Monthly | 98.00% | 98.00% | - | 96.00% | 97.00% | - | - | - | Up is Good | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| 70 | PP08 | Benchmark - National Data | Monthly | 97.00% | 96.00% | - | 98.00% | 97.00% | - | - | - | | |
| ublic F | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Monthly | 98.00% | 98.00% | - | 97.00% | 98.00% | - | - | - | | |
| Public Protection | | % of still births registered within 42 days | Monthly | 100.00% | 100.00% | - | 100.00% | 100.00% | - | - | - | Up is Good | ⋖ ► Neutral |
| on | PP09 | Benchmark - National Data | Monthly | 99.00% | 99.00% | - | 95.00% | 98.00% | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Monthly | 99.00% | 99.00% | - | 98.00% | 98.00% | - | - | - | | |

| | | | Previou | ıs Years | | | 2018 | /2019 | | | | |
|---------|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | | Collection Frequency | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DO |
| | % of deaths registered within 5 days | Monthly | 90.00% | 85.00% | - | 75.00% | 78.00% | - | <u>-</u> | - | Up is Good | ⋖ I Neut |
| PP10 | Benchmark - National Data | Monthly | 76.00% | 78.00% | - | 81.00% | 79.00% | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Monthly | 85.00% | 84.00% | - | 76.00% | 84.00% | - | - | - | | |
| TAD44 | % of panel who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area | Quarterly | NC | 25.65% | 26.87% | 25.68% | NC | - | - | - Ur Go | Up is Good | ⋖ Neu |
| TAP11 | Benchmark - Community Life Survey | Annual | 27.80% | 26.53% | 26.03% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | % of panel who give unpaid help to any group, club or organisation | Quarterly | NC | 64.30% | 66.22% | 67.83% | NC | - | - | <u>-</u> | Up is Good | ⋖ Neu |
| TAP13 | Benchmark - Community Life Survey | Annual | 65.39% | 62.68% | 64.00% | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Under 18 conceptions (per 1,000 females aged 15-17) (Calendar Year) | Quarterly | 20.41 | 14.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Gre |
| PHOF06 | Benchmark - National Data | Quarterly | 20.78 | 18.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | % of children who are eligible for a free school meal in the primary sector (excluding Danesgate) | Annual | 8.60% | 7.80% | 7.90% | - | - | - | - | - - | Neutral | ⋖ Neu |
| D.:FOLA | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 14.50% | 14.10% | (Avail Autumn 18) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| PriFSM | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 15.70% | 15.90% | (Avail Autumn 18) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | % of eligible children taking a free school meal in the primary sector (excluding Danesgate) - (Snapshot) | Annual | 78.30% | 77.27% | 77.70% | - | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ■ Neu |

| | | | | Previou | ıs Years | | | 2018 | /2019 | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|----|--------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| School Strategy and | | % of children who are eligible for a free school meal in the secondary sector (excluding Danesgate) | Annual | 6.30% | 6.20% | 6.40% | - | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ⋖ ► Neutral |
| Strateg | SecFSM | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 13.20% | 12.90% | (Avail Autumn 18) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| y and F | Secroivi | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 14.50% | 14.70% | (Avail Autumn 18) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Planning | | % of eligible children taking a free school meal in the secondary sector (excluding Danesgate) - (Snapshot) | Annual | 78.20% | 78.04% | 76.10% | - | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ◀▶ Neutral |
| | TOU01 | Room Occupancy | Monthly | 66.50% | 79.24% | 79.43% | 76.93% | 85.07% | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| | TOU04 | Average Room Rate | Monthly | £74.18 | £95.09 | £104.01 | £109.2 | £111.76 | - | - | - | Neutral | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| Του | TOU08 | Visits to Attractions: Big Attractions | Monthly | 2,597,009 | 2,376,573 | 2,562,582 | 864,967 | 1,029,901 | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| Tourism | TOU09 | Visits to Attractions: Small Attractions | Monthly | 247,538 | 232,501 | 294,107 | 82,325 | 91,750 | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| | TOU14 | Parliament Street Footfall | Monthly | 8,356,697 | 8,044,607 | 8,049,691 | 2,177,525 | 2,299,231 | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| | TOU15 | Visitor Information Centre Footfall | Monthly | 431,346 | 401,206 | 390,681 | 103,786 | 111,860 | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| Youth | | % of young people ending their YOT supervised order who are NEET (New definition from 2013/14 - cumulative) - (YTD) | Discontinued | 26.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| Youth Offending | 45 | % of 10-16 year olds ending their YOT supervised order who are NEET - (YTD) | Discontinued | 0.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ⋖ ▶ Neutral |
| ding | | % of 16+ year olds ending their YOT supervised order who are NEET - (YTD) | Discontinued | 39.30% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ⋖ ► Neutral |